

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/689,574	10/12/2000	Dean Homan	20.2751	8258	
23718	7590 03/06/2003				
SCHLUMBE	RGER OILFIELD SE	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
200 GILLING MD 200-9		PATIDAR	PATIDAR, JAY M		
SUGAR LAN	D, TX 77478	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2862		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_ AV		
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Comme	09/689,57	4	HOMAN ET AL.			
I	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Jay M. Pa		2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	6 December 2	<u>002</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	This action is	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20 and 48-57</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20 and 48-57</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pr cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •					
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(statent Application (PTC			
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summar	1	Part of	Paper No. 8		

Application/Control Number: 09/689,574

Art Unit: 2862

1. This communication is in response to applicants' amendment filed on December 16, 2002.

- 2. Claims 1-10 and 38-47 have been cancelled and cannot be reinstated.

 Also, Claims 21-29 and 58-66,75-76 have been cancelled.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-20,48-57,77-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubans (5,233,304) in view of Segesman (4,360,777).

Hubans discloses an apparatus for monitoring a characteristic of a reservoir including a tubular 25 having an elongated body with a longitudinal axis, the tubular being adapted for permanent disposal in the borehole; and at least one antenna 5 in a slot disposed on the exterior of the tubular. Hubans fails to show the antenna being electronically steered for directional measurement. However this feature is known in the art as evidenced by Segesman. Segesman

Application/Control Number: 09/689,574

Page 3

Art Unit: 2862

teaches to activate the antennae to electronically steer the sensing direction of the transmitted and/or received electromagnetic energy. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Hubans to have included the antennae configuration as disclosed by Segesman so that the measurements can be selectively electronically measured.

4. Applicants arguments with respect to claims 11 and 48 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 703-308-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0956.

Jay M. Patidar Primary Examiner Tech Center 2862 March 4, 2003